

III. REMARKS

The examiner allowed claims 1, 3-5, 7-10, 13-17, 50, 54-59, 63 and 65-66 over the prior art, subject to certain objections. Applicant has amended the claims to address each of the examiner's objections with the exception of the examiner's objection to claim 4. Applicant spoke to the examiner on the phone on April 8, 2008 regarding claim 4 and after discussing FIG. 9 the examiner agreed that claim 4 was correct as written.

The examiner stated that Claim 23 was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 23 and intervening claim 22 have been incorporated into claim 18 making claim 18 allowable. Since claim 18 is allowable, all claims depending from claim 18 are allowable.

The remaining claims have been canceled. Therefore, all claims are in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Rudolf O. Siegesmund
Rudolf O. Siegesmund
Registration No. 37,720
Gordon & Rees, LLP
Suite 2800
2100 Ross Avenue
Dallas, TX 75201
214-231-4703
214-461-4053 (Fax)
rsiegesmund@gordonrees.com
Attorney for Applicant